

Biomechanical Analysis and Design Considerations of Tibial Component for Total Ankle Replacement

A Thesis

Submitted

by

Subrata Mondal

(Roll No: D15007)

for the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



School of Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Mandi

Mandi, Himachal Pradesh-175005

May, 2020

**AFFECTIONATELY
DEDICATED
TO MY LOVING
PARENTS**



Declaration by the Research Scholar

I hereby declare that the entire work embodied in this Thesis entitled “**Biomechanical Analysis and Design Considerations of Tibial Component for Total Ankle Replacement**” is the result of investigations carried out by me in the *School of Engineering*, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, under the supervision of **Dr. Rajesh Ghosh**, and that it has not been submitted elsewhere for any degree or diploma. In keeping with the general practice, due acknowledgments have been made wherever the work described is based on finding of other investigators.

IIT Mandi (H.P.)

Date:

Signature:

Subrata Mondal



Declaration by the Research Advisor

I hereby certify that the entire work in this Thesis has been carried out by ***Subrata Mondal***, under my supervision in the ***School of Engineering***, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, and that no part of it has been submitted elsewhere for any Degree or Diploma. In keeping with the general practice, due acknowledgements have been made wherever the work described is based on finding of other investigators.

IIT Mandi (H.P.)

Date:

Signature:

Dr. Rajesh Ghosh

Acknowledgement

The real spirit of achieving a goal is through the way of excellence and strict discipline. We would have never succeeded in completing our task without co-operation, encouragement, and help provided to us by various personalities.

I would like to express my sincere thankfulness and gratitude to my respected thesis supervisor Dr. Rajesh Ghosh, Assistant Professor in the School of Engineering at Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, for his inspiring guidance, encouragement, and invaluable help throughout the course of this work. My association with him throughout the course and research activity has been a great process of learning. Words are inadequate to express the great care and interest taken by him in all aspects of present work, without which this work would not have been presented in its present form. His friendly and stimulating discussions in both academic and personal matters are greatly appreciated. It was a great honour and privilege to work under his supervision. His valuable suggestion and helping nature that helped to enhance my knowledge and continuous moral support and encouragement helped me a lot during my doctoral research.

I am also very much thankful to my Doctoral Committee members, Dr. Rajeev Kumar, Dr. Arpan Gupta, Dr. Rajneesh Sharma, and Dr. Ankush Bag for their valuable suggestions, constructive criticism and insightful comments at different stages of my doctoral journey. I would like to thankfully acknowledge the current chair Dr. Viswanath Balakrishnan and former chair Dr. Rajeev Kumar of School of Engineering, for providing a congenial environment, laboratory facilities, and necessary infrastructures in the School of Engineering for smooth completion of the PhD thesis. I am also thankful to entire faculty members of the School of Engineering for their indirectly and directly valuable help and cooperation.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my friend in the biomechanics laboratory, Mr. Ajay Kumar, Mr. Pankaj Shitole, Ms. Devismita Sanjay, and Ms. Jyoti for their moral support, continuous encouragement and maintaining a friendly working environment in the laboratory. I also wish to thank all of my friends, Gaurav, Ashutosh, Deepak, Abhishek, Udit, Nitin, Ankur, Shilpa, Naman, Ankita, Harpreet, Yogesh, Tanmoy, Kundulik, Prateek, Swati, Sneha, Abhilash, Saurabh, Taniya, and Namya who

helped me in different ways, encouraged me during the critical phase and made my stay enjoyable in this great institute during my PhD journey.

Finally, I want to express my gratitude to my parents, sister, and my relatives for their blessing, love, understanding, and patience to complete this work smoothly. It would not have been possible to achieve my goal without their moral encouragement and continuous support.

Indian Institute of Technology Mandi

Subrata Mondal

Date:

Abstract

Aseptic loosening of the tibial component is one of the major issues of failure for total ankle replacement (TAR) and revision arthroplasty. Early loosening of the uncemented implant is caused due to excessive implant-bone micromotion, which may hamper proper initial fixation and bone ingrowth between implant and bone. Late loosening of the uncemented component is caused due to excessive bone density loss due to strain shielding and wear-induced osteolysis. The detailed relationship between the cause and effect of mechanical loosening and the extent to which mechanical factors play in the role of the process are not clearly understood yet. This study aims to investigate the load transfer, strain distribution, implant-bone micromotion, measurement of wear depth and the implant-induced bone adaption, and their relationships with the failure risk, using finite element (FE) analysis. The prime objective of this study is to develop an improved design of the tibial component of ankle prosthesis to minimize the failure rate. A realistic three dimensional (3D) FE model of the intact ankle joint with the inclusion of all musculoskeletal loading and boundary conditions, proper material property distribution was developed using computed tomography (CT) scan data set. The effect of muscle force and ligaments on load transfer and stress distribution in the tibia and talus bone was investigated. Implantation evokes abrupt changes in the load transfer through the tibia bone. The effects of implant orientation and implant-bone interfacial condition on strain energy density (SED) distribution at the tibia were investigated. Proper bonding between implant and bone would be essential to reduce implant loosening, where a decrease in SED was found to be more in the case of debonded (non-osseointegration) implant-bone interfacial condition as compared to bonded (fully osseointegration) interfacial condition. Implant orientation and implant material influence the tibial bone strain, wear depth, and implant-bone micromotion. Varus/valgus orientations of implant lead to increase the wear depth at the articulating surface, which suggests the more wear, and that might affect the loosening of the implant in the long term. Implant material combination of ceramic with carbon-fiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone (CFR-PEEK) shows the least wear depth at the articulating surface and similar bone density distribution in the tibia bone after the bone remodelling as compared with other implant material (Co-Cr-Mo alloy with ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE), and ceramic with UHMWPE) combinations. Ceramic seemed to be a viable alternative to metal and CFR-PEEK as an alternative to UHMWPE, which would be beneficial for long term fixation of the implant due to its better wear resistance properties and less metal ion release. The cancellous bone material modelling has less influence on bone remodelling around the tibia due to TAR. The selection of the lazy zone has no significant impact on bone remodelling around the tibia. Variations in implant geometry affect the SED distribution, cancellous bone strain, and bone density distribution due to bone remodelling around the tibia. The ankle prosthesis having radial curvature with the round stem at the upper surface of the tibial component shows the less reduction in SED, strain, micromotion, and bone density loss than the prosthesis having a flat and concave upper surface of tibial component with two anchorage bars.

Keywords: Ankle joint, tibia, total ankle replacement, finite element analysis, implant-bone interface condition, implant orientation, implant material, strain shielding, strain energy density, wear depth, bone remodelling, dead zone, bone density, tibial component.

Contents

Title page	i
Declaration by the research Scholar	v
Declaration by the research Advisor	vii
Acknowledgement	ix
Abstract	xi
Contents	xiii
List of symbols and abbreviations	xvii
List of figures	xxi
List of tables	xxvii

Chapter 1: Introduction and review of literature

1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Anatomy and biomechanics of ankle-joint	3
1.2.1 Anatomical planes and axes of the foot	4
1.2.2 Ankle joint	5
1.2.3 Gait cycle	5
1.2.4 Ankle joint reaction forces	6
1.3. Review of literature	7
1.3.1 Total ankle replacement: state-of-the-art	7
1.3.2 Failure scenario of total ankle replacement	8
1.3.3 Bone remodelling	9
1.3.3.1 Mathematical formulation	12
1.3.4 Clinical studies on TAR	14
1.3.5 Finite element and experimental studies of TAR	18
1.3.5.1 Modelling of different elements	18
1.3.5.2 Geometry discretization	18
1.3.5.3 Material properties of bones, prosthetic components and soft tissues	19
1.3.5.4 Boundary and loading conditions	23
1.3.5.5 Intact ankle joint	25
1.3.5.6 Implanted ankle joint	27

1.3.5.7 Experimental investigations	31
1.4. Motivation of the study: unsolved problems	32
1.5. Objectives and scope of the study	37
1.6. Structure of the thesis	38

Chapter 2: A numerical study on stress distribution across the intact ankle joint: Effects of material distribution of bone, muscle force and ligaments

2.1. Introduction	41
2.2. Materials and method	43
2.2.1 Development of the 3D FE model of bones	43
2.2.2 Modelling of the cartilages	44
2.2.3 Generation of the ligaments	44
2.2.4 Material properties of bones	45
2.2.5 Applied loading and boundary conditions	46
2.2.6 Contact simulation	46
2.2.7 Verification and validation of the FE model	47
2.2.8 Interpretation of results	48
2.3. Results	49
2.3.1 Effect of material property distribution	49
2.3.2 Effect of muscle force and ligaments	49
2.4. Discussion	54
2.5. Summary of the findings	56

Chapter 3: The effects of implant orientations, implant material and implant-bone interfacial conditions on potential causes of failure of tibial component due to total ankle replacement

3.1. Introduction	57
3.2. Materials and method	61
3.2.1 Development of the 3D FE models of implanted bones	61
3.2.2 Material properties of bones, cartilage, ligaments, and implant component	64
3.2.3 Applied loading and boundary conditions	65
3.2.4 Strain energy density per unit bone mass	66
3.2.5 Implant-bone and bone-cartilage interface conditions for implanted and intact FE models	66
3.2.6 Interface failure assessment	67

3.2.7	Wear depth assessment	68
3.2.8	Validation of the FE model	68
3.3.	Results	69
3.3.1	Tibia Bone Strain	70
3.3.2	Strain energy density distribution	75
3.3.3	Wear depth	75
3.3.4	Implant-bone micromotion between the tibia and tibial component	78
3.3.5	Interface failure assessment	78
3.4.	Discussion	79
3.5.	Summary of the findings	82
Chapter 4: Bone remodelling around the tibia due to tar: effects of cancellous bone material and dead zone		
4.1.	Introduction	85
4.2.	Materials and method	87
4.2.1	The bone remodelling algorithm	88
4.2.2	Interface failure assessment	90
4.3.	Results	90
4.4.	Discussion	97
4.5.	Summary of the findings	101
Chapter 5: Bone remodelling around the tibia due to total ankle replacement: effects of implant material and implant-bone interfacial condition		
5.1.	Introduction	103
5.2.	Materials and method	105
5.2.1	Interface failure assessment	105
5.3.	Results	106
5.3.1	Interface failure assessment	106
5.4.	Discussion	111
5.5.	Summary of the findings	114
Chapter 6: Influence of the changes in tibial component design on strain, strain energy density and bone remodelling around the tibia due to total ankle replacement		
6.1.	Introduction	115
6.2.	Materials and method	116
6.2.1	Applied loading and boundary conditions, contact simulations,	117

and bone remodelling algorithm	
6.3. Results	119
6.3.1 SED distribution for debonded condition	119
6.3.2 SED distribution for bonded condition	119
6.3.3 Cancellous bone strain	119
6.3.4 Bone remodelling	123
6.4. Discussion	125
6.5. Summary of the findings	128
Chapter 7: Influence of the stem design of tibial component on strain, strain energy density, micromotion and bone remodelling around the tibia due to total ankle replacement	
7.1. Introduction	129
7.2. Materials and method	129
7.3. Results	131
7.3.1 Strain distribution in the tibia for debonded implant-bone interface condition	131
7.3.2 Strain distribution in the tibia for bonded implant-bone interface condition	133
7.3.3 Average strain energy density distribution	134
7.3.4 Bone remodelling	135
7.3.5 Micromotion	135
7.4. Discussion	137
7.4.1. Limitations and Assumptions	138
7.5. Summary of the findings	139
Chapter 8: Conclusions	
8.1. Introduction	141
8.2. Significance and main contributions of the thesis	142
8.3. Scope of future research	145
References	147
List of publications	163
Appendix	165