

Study on the Factor Contribution of Micropile Pullout Resistance

A THESIS

submitted by

**Tejinder Thakur
(S17006)**

*for the award of the degree
of*

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
(by research)**



School of Engineering

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MANDI

MANDI, INDIA-175005

JULY 2020



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MANDI
MANDI- 175 005 (H.P.), INDIA
www.iitmandi.ac.in

Declaration by the Research Scholar

This is certified that the thesis entitled “**Study on the Factor Contribution of Micropile Pullout Resistance**”, submitted by me to the Indian Institute of Technology Mandi for the award of the Degree of Master of Science (by research) is a bonafide record of research work carried out by me under the supervision of **Dr. Venkata Uday Kala**. The content of this thesis, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other Institute or University for the award of any Degree or Diploma.

Date:

Signature:

Place: Mandi

Name: Tejinder Thakur



Declaration by the Research Advisor

This is certified that the thesis entitled “**Study on the Factor Contribution of Micropile Pullout Resistance**”, submitted by **Tejinder Thakur** to the Indian Institute of Technology Mandi for the award of the Degree of Master of Science (by research) is a bonafide record of research work carried out by him under my supervision. The content of this thesis, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other Institute or University for the award of any Degree or Diploma.

Signature:

Dr. Venkata Uday Kala

Assistant Professor

School of Engineering

IIT Mandi

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Venkata Uday Kala for his constant encouragement, guidance and support. His vision and advice during the research work have deeply inspired me and kept me motivated throughout this journey.

The financial supports by the grant (MPG/SO/2016-17/115/MACS(R)) from Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO) and Indian Institute of Technology Mandi are gratefully acknowledged.

I would like to express my deepest thanks to Academic Progress Committee members Dr. Maheshreddy Gade, Dr. Mousumi Mukherjee, Dr. Kaustav Sarkar and Dr. Manoj Thakur for their consistent guidance and kind help. Special thanks must be given to Prof. Prakash Apte, for helping in understanding the Taguchi Method in the study.

I also wish to extend my thanks to staff and faculty Geotechnical Engineering Laboratory, Construction Material Laboratory, Mechanical Workshop and Design Laboratory of School of Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi for their cooperation in fabricating different parts of the testing apparatus, test setup and providing testing facilities.

I also wish to acknowledge my friends and fellow scholars especially Mr. Deepak Mori, Mr. Naresh Mali, Mr. Tarun Semwal, Ms. Akriti Kothiala, Ms. Charu Chauhan and Mr. Prateek Sharma who in various ways helped me in the progress of my research work.

Finally, with boundless love and appreciation, I am extremely grateful to my parents for their prayers, care and sacrifices for educating me and making me what I am today.

Tejinder Thakur

ABSTRACT

In Himalayan region, the inaccessible and steep slopes form the hindrances for construction of the foundation for structures like transmission line towers, snow barrier, snow net fence, guided structures and wind turbines which have to resist uplift loads. In such circumstances, micropile is found to be very effective solution due to its ease of installation at difficult sites in urban and mountainous areas. The performance of micropile is highly influenced by multiple parameters even when installed in similar ground conditions. The critical review of literature states the effectiveness of the micropile pullout resistance depends on various design factors as pile embedment ratio (L/D), diameter (D), surface characteristics of the pile (shape, roughness, soil-pile friction angle δ), method of installation and the soil properties (unit weight of the soil γ , shear strength parameters c , ϕ). However, limited studies bring out the factor effects and their contributions.

The current research work elucidates the multi-parameter experimental study in a test pit of dimension 2.5m (width) \times 6m (length) \times 2.5m (depth) filled with fine sand, hosting cement grouted micropiles having L/D ratio of 10, 20 and 30 and diameter of 40, 50, 60mm. The factors used in this study comprises of L/D ratio, diameter, unit weight of soil, grouting pressure, grout flow rate and grout material with each factor having different levels. Taguchi method has been adopted in order to optimise the number of experiments by design of experiments and further obtaining optimum levels for the factors and percentage contribution/influence of each factor. The major focus was to maximise the ultimate uplift load and minimise the corresponding vertical displacement, thereby achieving optimum performance of the micropile. Further for a better understanding of soil-structure interaction, the roughness generated on the interface is studied by direct shear tests to analyse the interface skin friction by using different roughness of abrasive paper with the soils.

The obtained results show the dominance of L/D ratio and diameter on the pullout resistance of micropile. The optimum levels obtained for each factor reveal that the increment of level for factors, L/D ratio, diameter, grouting pressure and unit weight increases the uplift capacity. The pressure grouting enlarged the diameter of the pile by pressurizing the inner surface of the borehole. This resulted in increasing the surface roughness and bond strength at the interface and thus increasing the overall pullout capacity of the micropile. Further, the interface studies reveal that interface parameters adhesion (c_a), and interface friction (δ) are the function of surface roughness of the interfacing member with the soil.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
NOMENCLATURE	xviii
ABBREVIATIONS	xix
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 General	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Motivation and scope for the study	2
1.3 Organisation of the thesis	2
Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.0 General	5
2.1 Review on the parametric studies involved in the pullout capacity of micropile	5
2.2 Review on soil-solid interface studies by direct shear test	14
2.3 Review on optimization and identification of the critical parameters using Taguchi Method	18
2.4 Critical Appraisal	20
2.5 Objectives	21
Chapter 3 TAGUCHI METHOD AND ANALYSIS	23
3.0 General	23
3.1 Taguchi method	23
3.2 Analysis of Results using Taguchi Method	24
3.2.1 Estimation of Factor Effects	25
3.2.2 Estimation of Factor Contribution	26
3.2.3 Estimation of Error Variance	26
3.2.4 Pooling	27
3.2.5 Confidence Interval for Factor Effect	27
3.2.6 Variance Ratio	27

Chapter 4	MICROPILE PULLOUT STUDIES	29
4.0	General	29
4.1	Experimental methodology for Pullout Studies	29
4.2	Physical Characterisation of Sand	29
4.2.1	Gradational Characteristics	29
4.2.2	Specific Gravity	29
4.2.3	Density Index	30
4.2.4	Shear strength parameters	30
4.3	Preparation of test pit and sand bed	31
4.3.1	Test Pit	31
4.3.2	Sand Bed	31
4.4	Construction of Micropile and Grouting	32
4.4.1	Pressure Grouting with Rubber Packer	34
4.4.2	Grout Material and Properties	37
4.5	Pullout Test and Experimental Setup	38
4.6	Design of Experiments (DoE) for Micropiles in Sand Bed	41
4.7	Orthogonal arrays considered for the study	42
4.7.1	$L_9(3^2)$ Orthogonal Array-1	42
4.7.2	$L_{16}(4^5)$ Orthogonal Array-2	42
4.7.3	$L_9(3^4)$ Orthogonal Array-3	43
4.8	Pullout Test Results and Analysis using Taguchi Method	44
4.9	Analysis of Parameters from Orthogonal Array 1	45
4.10	Analysis of Parameters from Orthogonal Array 2	50
4.10.1	Analysis for uplift load from OA-2	52
4.10.2	Analysis for vertical displacement from OA-2	55
4.11	Analysis of Parameters from Orthogonal Array-3	57
4.11.1	Analysis for uplift load from OA-3	58
4.11.2	Analysis for vertical displacement from OA-3	61
4.12	Summary	63
Chapter 5	INTERFACE STUDIES USING DIRECT SHEAR TEST	67
5.0	General	67
5.1	Materials and Methodology	67

5.2	Physical Characterisation	68
5.2.1	Index properties of soil	68
5.2.2	Shear strength parameters	68
5.2.3	Compaction characteristics	68
5.3	Interfacing material and roughness profile	69
5.4	Direct shear test and sample preparation	70
5.5	Results and Discussion	72
5.5.1	Effect of soil type and interfacing material (AP0) on interface shear strength	72
5.5.2	Effect of Roughness	74
5.5.3	Effect of normal stress and surface roughness on shear strength	75
5.5.4	Effect of surface roughness on friction angle and cohesion/adhesion	77
5.6	Summary	78
Chapter 6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	79
6.1	Conclusions	79
6.2	Recommendations for Future Research	79
	APPENDIX	81
	REFERENCES	91
	LIST OF PUBLICATION	95