# SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF FIXED BASE AND BASE ISOLATED LIQUID STORAGE TANKS CONSIDERING SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION

HITESH KUMAR



School of Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Mandi VPO – Kamand, Mandi – 175075, India August 2020

# SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF FIXED BASE AND BASE ISOLATED LIQUID STORAGE TANKS CONSIDERING SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION

by

### HITESH KUMAR

(S17002)

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

## MASTER OF SCIENCE BY RESEARCH (M.S.)

under the supervision of

Dr. Sandip Kumar Saha



School of Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Mandi VPO – Kamand, Mandi – 175075, India August 2020 (Page is intentionally left blank)

#### **Declaration by the Research Scholar**

This is to certify that the Thesis entitled "Seismic Performance of Fixed Base and Base Isolated Liquid Storage Tanks Considering Soil-Structure Interaction", submitted by me to the Indian Institute of Technology Mandi for the award of the Degree of Master of Science (by research) is a bonafide record of research work carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. Sandip Kumar Saha. The content of this Thesis, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other Institute or University for the award of any Degree or Diploma.

Place: Date:

**Hitesh Kumar** 

### **Declaration by the Research Advisor**

This is to certify that the Thesis entitled "Seismic Performance of Fixed Base and Base Isolated Liquid Storage Tanks Considering Soil-Structure Interaction", submitted by Mr. Hitesh Kumar to the Indian Institute of Technology Mandi for the award of the Degree of Master of Science (by research) is a bonafide record of research work carried out by him under my supervision. The content of this Thesis, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other Institute or University for the award of any Degree or Diploma.

Place: Date:

Dr. Sandip Kumar Saha Assistant Professor School of Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Mandi VPO - Kamand, Mandi -175 075, India

i

(Page is intentionally left blank)

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

With this, I have come to the end of my masters degree which is undoubtedly the best academic experience of my life so far. I would like to express my hearty gratitude towards all the wonderful people whose love and support has helped me to bring the best out of myself. First and foremost, I want to express sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Dr. Sandip Kumar Saha for his careful guidance and extensive support at every step of my masters degree. I would like to present my deepest appreciation for his valuable suggestions, and remarkable contributions towards the completion of this work. I cannot be more thankful enough for his exceptional support and faith in me. He listened to my immature doubts and problems with all the patience and, helped me out from every circumstance whenever I felt stuck. I am grateful for his magnanimity in excusing my mistakes.

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Kaustav Sarkar, Dr. Subhamoy Sen, Dr. Maheshreddy Gade, Dr. Ashutosh Kumar, and Dr. Venkata Uday Kala for critically examining my academic performance and providing me with their valuable reviews. It has immensely helped me to critically think about a problem with a much better and clear perspective. I always feel luckiest to have the exceptional faith of Dr. Saha, Dr. Sen, and Dr. Gade. Your belief in me has always pushed me to achieve more. Further, I would like to extend my gratitude towards the Chairperson (School of Engineering, 2018) and Dr. Saha for partially financing my first international conference (16ECEE) attendance at Thessaloniki, Greece in June 2018.

I gratefully acknowledge the inspiring efforts of my mother for her unconditional love and everlasting support. I owe everything to you Maa! I am also thankful to all of my family members whose constant encouragement has helped me to maintain the much needed level of enthusiasm for the completion of this work.

A special thanks to my friends turned siblings, Vipul Aggarwal and Yati Aggarwal to bear with me all these years. Your presence always makes me feel at home. Many thanks to Ketan Sengar and Raj Kiran for valuable advices and life-lessons. My colleagues and seniors: Aman, Ankita, Anurag, Ashish, Naman, Partha, Smriti, Tarun, Tejinder, you are truly the best people of IIT Mandi. Your company makes me linger some more at this place. Last but not the least, accept my gratitude Ayushi Mishra, for always supporting me in my tough times, guiding me towards the right path, and motivating me to work harder.

Date:

(Hitesh Kumar)

(Page is intentionally left blank)

#### ABSTRACT

Liquid storage tanks are used to store water, chemical, petroleum, liquified natural gas, and various other industrial products. Considering the crucial importance of liquid storage tanks for society and industry, these are categorized as lifeline structures. Such structures must remain functional even in the worst case of a natural or manmade hazard, like earthquake, fire, blast, etc.

It is quite common in practice that the liquid storage tank structures are analyzed and designed assuming the rigid base condition, i.e. omitting the effect of soil-structure interaction (SSI). Studies on liquid storage tanks with rigid reinforced concrete walls suggest that the assumption of a rigid base may not hold for the tank structure resting on soft soil. Limited studies can be found out on the seismic behavior of liquid storage tanks with flexible steel walls considering soil-structure interaction (SSI), especially when the tank structure is equipped with mitigation measure like base isolation system.

The present study develops a detailed numerical framework for modeling the soil-liquidstructure interaction, and subsequently, thoroughly investigates the seismic performance of fixed base (i.e., uncontrolled or without base isolation) as well as base isolated liquid storage tanks. The scope of the study includes two of the most common structural configurations of cylindrical shaped liquid storage tanks with flexible walls, namely ground supported and elevated. Lumped mass approach is considered for modeling the liquid storage tanks. The base isolated tank structures are considered isolated with elastomeric bearings, such as laminated rubber bearing and lead rubber bearing.

The effect of SSI is investigated on the seismic fragility and peak seismic responses of various structural configurations of liquid storage tanks, which also includes investigating the seismic effectiveness of base isolation system. Seismic fragility is expressed in terms of tank wall buckling, which is related to the hydrodynamic shear forces and moment induced at the tank base. For the seismic fragility analysis, the soil domain is assumed as homogenous half-space, and the computationally efficient substructure approach is adopted to model the SSI system. Seismic fragility curves are developed for different configurations of the tanks, base conditions (rigid base and flexible base), and soil types (in case of flexible base). Thereafter, a detailed finite element model for the soil domain is adopted to investigate the effect of multi-layered

soil on the seismic responses of liquid storage tanks. Lastly, the critical soil parameters are considered as uncertain, and assigned with suitable probability distribution functions, to investigate their effect on seismic responses of liquid storage tanks. Overall, it is concluded that the slender tanks possess the highest probability of failure regardless of the soil stiffness and the presence of base isolation system. Further, it is observed that in the case of fixed base tanks, the soil-structure interaction can likely reduce the amplitude of peak base shear and overturning moment. The present study suggests taking into account the effect of soil-structure interaction when the soil underlying the tank structure is relatively soft or when the site is prone to strong earthquakes.

**Keywords**: Base isolation, Earthquake, Liquid storage tank, Seismic fragility, Soil-structure interaction (SSI), Uncertainty.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>ITEM/SECTION NO</b>	D. TITLE	PAGE
	Table of Contents	vii-x
	List of Tables	xi-xii
	List of Figures	xiii-xvii
	List of Symbols	xix-xxii
CHAPTER-1	Introduction	1-17
1.1	Liquid Storage Tanks	1
	1.1.1 General Classification	1
	1.1.2 Seismic Behavior of Liquid Storage Tanks	1
1.2	Base Isolation	3
	1.2.1 General Classification	4
	1.2.2 Seismic Behavior of Base Isolated Liquid	5
	Storage Tanks	_
1.3	Soil-Structure Interaction (SSI)	7
	1.3.1 <i>Physical Aspects of Soil-Structure Interaction</i>	8
	1.3.2 General Approach to Model Soil-Structure	9
	Interaction	10
	1.3.3 Seismic Behavior of Liquid Storage Tanks	10
	Considering Soil-Structure Interaction	10
1.4	Need of the Present Study	13
	1.4.1 <i>Objectives of the Present Study</i>	14
	1.4.2 Scope of the Present Study	14
1.5	Organization of the Thesis	15
<b>CHAPTER-2</b>	Modeling of Liquid Storage Tanks with Base Isolation	19-41
	and Soil-Structure Interaction	
2.1	General	19
2.2	Modeling of Fixed Base Liquid Storage Tanks	19
	2.2.1 Modeling of Fixed Base Ground Supported Tank	19
	2.2.2 Modeling of Fixed Base Elevated Tank	21
2.3	Modeling of Base Isolation	23
	2.3.1 Equivalent Linear Model	23
	2.3.2 Bi-Linear Hysteretic Model	24
2.4	Equation of Motion for Liquid Storage Tanks with Rigid Base	24
2.5	Modeling Soil-Structure Interaction Following Substructure Approach	27
2.6	Equation of Motion for Liquid Storage Tanks with Flexible Base	28
2.7	Modeling Soil-Structure Interaction Following Direct Approach	33

<b>ITEM/SECTION N</b>	0.	TITLE	PAGE
	2.7.1	Size of Computational Soil Domain	33
	2.7.2	Mesh Characteristics	34
	2.7.3	Characteristics of Soil Domain and Boundary	35
		Conditions	
2.8	Numeric	al Modeling with OpenSees	37
CHAPTER-3	Seismic	Performance of Ground Supported Liquid	43-54
	Storage	Tanks	
3.1	General		43
3.2		al Model of Ground Supported Tank and Soil- Interaction	44
3.3	Seismic 1	Fragility Analysis	44
3.4	Soil Classification and Ground Motion Selection		46
3.5	Numeric	al Study	47
	3.5.1	<i>Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction on Seismic</i> <i>Fragility of Fixed Base Tank</i>	47
	3.5.2	Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction on Seismic Fragility of Base Isolated Tank	48
	3.5.3	Comparison of Seismic Fragility of Ground Supported Tanks (Fixed Base and Base Isolated)	49
3.6	Closure		50
CHAPTER-4	Seismic Tanks	Performance of Elevated Liquid Storage	55-80
4.1	General		55
4.2	Ground I	Motion Selection	56
4.3	Develop	ment of Fragility Curves	56
4.4	Numeric	al Study	57
	4.4.1	Effect of Soil Stiffness on Peak Seismic Responses of Elevated Tank	59
	4.4.2		60
	4.4.3	<i>Effect of Flexibility of Isolation System on Peak</i> <i>Seismic Responses</i>	61
	4.4.4	Effect of Staging Stiffness on Seismic Fragility	62
	4.4.5	Effect of Base Isolation on Seismic Fragility	63
4.5	Closure		65
CHAPTER-5		Layered Soil Model on Seismic Performance d Storage Tanks	81-113
5.1	General		81
5.2	Details o	f Numerical Model	82
5.3	Numeric	al Study	83
5.4	Effect of Tanks	Soil-Structure Interaction on Ground Supported	84
	5.4.1	Effect of Soil Stiffness on Peak Responses	84
	5.4.2	Effect of Slenderness Ratio on Peak Responses	86

<b>ITEM/SECTION N</b>	NO. TITLE	PAGE
	5.4.3 Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction on Peak	87
	responses of Fixed Base Tanks	
	5.4.4 Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction on Peak	88
	responses of Base Isolated Tanks	
5.5	Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction on Elevated Tanks	90
	5.5.1 Effect of Soil Stiffness on Peak Responses	90
	5.5.2 Effect of Slenderness Ratio on Peak Responses	91
	5.5.3 Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction on Peak	92
	Responses of Fixed Base Tanks	
	5.5.4 Effect of Soil-structure Interaction on Peak	93
	Responses of Base Isolated Tanks	
5.6	Closure	94
CHAPTER-6	Effect of Uncertain Soil Parameters on Seismic	115-136
	<b>Response of Liquid Storage Tanks</b>	
6.1	General	115
6.2	Modeling of Liquid Storage Tanks	117
6.3	Modeling of Soil Domain	117
6.4	Ground Motion Selection	119
6.5	Numerical Study	120
	6.5.1 Effect of Uncertain Soil Parameters on Peak Sloshing Displacement	120
	6.5.2 Effect of Uncertain Soil Parameters on Peak	121
	Responses of Fixed Base Tank	
	6.5.3 Effect of Uncertain Soil Parameters on Peak	122
	Responses of Base Isolated Tank	
	6.5.4 Comparison of Seismic Performance of Fixed	123
	base and Base Isolated Tank based on	
	Foundation Response	
6.6	Closure	125
CHAPTER-7 Summary and Conclusions		137-139
7.1	Summary	137
7.2	Conclusions	137
7.3	Scope of Future Work	139
REF	ERENCES	141-151
APPENDIX-1	Validation of Models Utilized in the Present Study	153-165
A.1	Validation of Ground Supported Tank	153
A.2	Validation of Elevated Tank	154
A.3	Validation of Base Isolated Ground Supported Tank	154
A.4	Validation of Base Isolated Elevated Tank	154
A.5	Validation of Coupled Soil-Tank Model (Substructure	155
	Approach)	
A.6	Validation of Numerical Model of Soil Domain (Direct	156
	approach)	

<b>ITEM/SECTION NO</b>	D. TITLE	PAGE
A.7	Convergence Study to Select Number of Ground Motions	156
A.8	for Seismic Fragility Analysis Convergence Study to Select Width of Soil Domain in Direct Approach	157
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		